

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 4-27 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 5, 25 and 27 are amended. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amended claims is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the Amendment: 1) place the claims in condition for allowance (for the reasons discussed herein as well as the reasons discussed in the personal interview); 2) do not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration; and 3) place the application in better form for appeal (if necessary). Entry is thus requested. If any of these amendments require withdrawal in order to have the patent application proceed to allowance, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner should contact Applicant's representative at the phone number listed below.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the courtesies extended by Examiner Dong and SPE O'shea on July 1, 2003 in a personal interview. In the personal interview, the cited art of record was discussed and Applicant submitted that the cited art fails to teach a dynamic voltage applied to a prefocused lens (third electrode) wherein a body of wire is arranged so as not to pass through a space formed between an outer surface of the beaded glass and an inner surface of the cathode ray tube, thus the rejections should be withdrawn.



Serial No. 09/878,321

Docket No. K-0293

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

The Office Action rejects claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicant respectfully submits that the above amendments obviate the grounds for the rejection. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

II. 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 2 and 4-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Chen (U.S. Patent No. 5,350,957) in view of Bozzay et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,096,408). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed in the personal interview, the cited art of record fails to teach a dynamic voltage applied to a prefocused lens (third electrode) wherein a body of wire is arranged so as not to pass through a space formed between an outer surface of the beaded glass and an inner surface of the cathode ray tube for preventing generation of contact noise.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1, 2 and 17 are allowable. Claims 4-8 and 22-23 depend from claim 1, claims 10-16 and 24-25 depend from claim 2 and claims 18-21 and 26-27 depend from claim 17, and are allowable for at least the same reasons, as well as their added features and the combinations thereof. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

RECEIVED
JUL 14 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, Laura L. Lee, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Daniel Y.J. Kim
Registration No. 36,186
Laura L. Lee
Registration No. 48,752

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200
703 502-9440 DYK/LLL:knv
Date: July 10, 2003